



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/734,300 | 12/15/2003 | Yoshiharu Nakajima | SON-1697/DIV | 4716 |
| 23353 | 7590 | 03/13/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC | | | DHARIA, PRABODH M | |
| LION BUILDING | | | | |
| 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 | | | ART UNIT | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | |
| | | | 03/13/2008 | |
| | | | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/734,300 | Applicant(s) NAKAJIMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner PRABODH M. DHARIA | Art Unit 2629 |
| | | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PRABODH M. DHARIA. (3) _____.

(2) N. Meyer Zohn. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: None.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10,17,40 and 54.

Identification of prior art discussed: Koyoma et al. (US 6,911,926).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed the amendments to independent claims to overcome prior art of Koyoma et al. (US 6,911,926). Applicant representative agreed to fax amendments to Examiner. Examiner mentioned to applicant representative after the response to advisory received; the amendments will raise new issue that will require prior art search and further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Prabodh M Dharia/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.